

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-25200 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 31, 2016.

Kartar Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

Ajit Singh and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. T.S. Sangha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. H.S. Sangha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Bhargav, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petitioners seek quashing of protest petition dated 10.1.2011 which was treated as complaint and the summoning order dated 3.4.2014 (Annexure P-13) vide which the petitioners were summoned under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and the subsequent proceedings.

Since the summoning order is revisable, the petitioners are relegated to avail their remedy before the Court of Sessions. If the revision is filed within one month, the Sessions Court shall decide the same on merits notwithstanding the bar of limitation.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge

March 31, 2016.