

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-26030 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:15.9.2016

Sankuru Parmila

.....Petitioner

v.

Union Territory, Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

.....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate for the U.T.-respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajeev Kwatra, Advocate for complainant-respondent
No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.173 dated 20.4.2015 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 420, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise vide award dated 16.3.2016 passed in Lok Adalat Case No.67 of 2016 by Lok Adalat Bench at Macherla, District Guntur (Andhra Pradesh) (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Sankuru Srinivasa Reddy on the allegations that the accused-petitioner by

hatching conspiracy has cheated him. It has been mentioned in the petition that there was a matrimonial dispute between the parties. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably settled and compromise has been entered into between the parties, therefore, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh has sent his report dated 22.8.2016 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Advocate for the respondent-UT, Chandigarh, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the respondent-U.T., Chandigarh and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate

[3]

conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.173 dated 20.4.2015 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 420, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

September 15, 2016.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No