

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26007-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 30.09.2016

Lalit @ Nishu and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Vijaypal.

Mr. Kartar Singh Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

CRM-30882-2016

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.52 dated 25.04.2016, registered under Sections 323, 325 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Line Par, Bahadurgarh.

Learned counsel contends that it is a case of version and cross-version, wherein, the petitioners also suffered injuries. A cross-version under Section 307 IPC stands registered against the complainant party. The parties are neighbours and the fight took place on a petty issue. The petitioners have joined the investigation in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions,

submits that though the petitioners have joined the investigation but they are not cooperating as the weapon of offence is yet to be recovered.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also opposed the instant petition.

Heard.

The parties are residing in the vicinity of each other. It is a case of version and cross-version. The petitioners have repeatedly joined the investigation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 03.08.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

30.09.2016

atulsethi

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No