

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-25999 of 2016
Date of Decision: 08.8.2016**

Harjinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 120 dated 6.10.2015, registered under Sections 354-A IPC and Section 7/8 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Police Station Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot .

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had already been allowed bail but he was absent on one date and his bail was cancelled. Non-bailable warrants were issued and he had no intention to delay the trial and he was ready to appear before the trial Court.

On the last date, the petitioner was asked to place on record the zimni orders. The record reveals that the witnesses were appearing in the Court but it was the defence side who was seeking adjournments and on the third date, the petitioner had absented from the Court. It appears that the intention was to delay the trial. Petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court.

Let the petitioner appear before the trial Court within three

days. On appearance he would be admitted to bail subject to the conditions

laid down in section 438 sub section 2 clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. There would be no further default. The petitioner would also deposit Rs. 5,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority. The trial Court can consider if any amount should be paid to the witnesses out of it.

Petition stands disposed of.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

August 08, 2016
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No