

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.2593 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 12.07.2016

Abdul Rehman

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Altaf Hussain, Advocate and  
Mr. Arafat Hussain, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Mr. N.S.Shekhawat, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.240 dated 06.07.2015 registered under Sections 148/149/323/325/302/452/506 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Tauru District Mewat (Haryana).

Learned counsel has argued that the petitioner has now been in custody for almost 11 months. He is 55 years old. No specific role has

been attributed to him either in the FIR or in the challan and all the persons

arrested in this case are his family members.

These facts are not disputed by the learned counsel for the complainant and learned Additional Advocate General though they have argued that in this case of murder where the petitioner was part of an unlawful assembly and merely because no specific role has been attributed to him did not mean that he should be released on bail.

Be that as it may, without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny him the concession of bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands allowed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**July 12, 2016**  
*pooja sharma-I*

**( AJAY TEWARI )**  
**JUDGE**