

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-25001 of 2015

Date of decision : 06.04.2016

Amarr Virk

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab

Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate
for the complainant

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 28 dated 14.05.2015 registered at Police Station Women Police, District Patiala under Sections 420, 406, 498-A IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that this was the second marriage and the petitioner is 37 years old and the marriage took place in November 2012 and the girl went back to Australia on 02.01.2013. Three months later the husband had gone to Australia on a spouse visa and the girl had returned for few days in March. He further states that the girl and her family are Australian citizens and the allegations in the complaint are that jewellery was handed over to the mother-in-law and no recovery is to be effected from the husband. He also states that the mother-in-law and father-in-law were granted anticipatory bail. He further states that girl is doctor in Australia and the husband had returned after the wife had withdrawn the sponsorship.

The petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 30.06.2015 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ANITA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

April 06, 2016

reena