

CRM-M-25906-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(143)

CRM-M-25906-2016

Date of Decision:-01.08.2016

Gagandeep Singh alias Gagan and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Counsel for the complainant states that indeed there is
compromise.

Counsel for the petitioner states that it was the dispute
among students. In that view of the matter and looking to the
nature of offences, it would be appropriate to allow compounding
thereof.

In that view of the matter FIR No.96 dated 08.04.2016.
registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 341, 294, 506, 379, 148
and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police station Sohana,
District- SAS Nagar alongwith all consequential proceedings is
quashed by virtue of compromise.

August 01, 2016

Pankaj

**(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No