

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.25886 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 05.08.2016

Sandeep

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

Present: Mr. S.S.Duhan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)**

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.351 dated 25.12.2014 registered under Sections 395, 397 and 412 IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only role attributed to the petitioner is that he was employed in the factory and gave all the details to the main accused. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 21.07.2015 and no other case is pending against him.

Learned State counsel has accepted these factual assertions.

Keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner and without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny him the concession of bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate,  
Sirsa.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 05, 2016  
sonia

(AJAY TEWARI)  
JUDGE

- |                               |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |