

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-25885 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 30.09.2016**

Amrit Pal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Amit Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Kakkar, Advocate,
for the complainant-Monu.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.0287 dated 23.04.2016 under Sections 406/420/506/120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajbir Singh, has very fairly conceded that in compliance of the order dated 01.08.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation. However, it has been submitted by learned State counsel that from the mobile phone furnished by the petitioner during interrogation, the entire data has been removed which could have helped in tracing the details of transaction alleged to have taken place in between the parties.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, mere fact that no data is available, he cannot be declined the concession of pre-arrest bail. Consequently, the order dated 01.08.2016 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
shalini

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No