

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-24953 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 11, 2016.

Roshandeem

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chouhan, Advocate
for respondents No.5 & 6.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Harpal Singh, PS Sadar Nawanshehar, states that in this case after investigation, the challan was presented before the lower court. Charges in this case were framed on 19.11.2015 and the case is fixed for 19.1.2016, therefore, the petition has become infructuous.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date, none was present.

Since, in this case, challan has been presented and charges have been framed, therefore, this Court cannot direct re-investigation of the case. The petitioner can take appropriate remedy before the trial court.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

January 11, 2016.
kadyan

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge