

344 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-1769-2002
Date of Decision: 01.03.2016

Tarsem Singh

....Appellant

VERSUS

Partap Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR.

Present: None for the appellant.

None for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Goswami, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

Actual date notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report that he is not residing at the given address. Perusal of the record indicates that even before the Permanent Lok Adalat, the appellant was not being represented.

In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to file an application for revival of the same if he appears.

01.03.2016
anju

**[SNEH PRASHAR]
JUDGE**