

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25834 of 2016
Date of Decision: 09.09.2016

Gurpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T.Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Varun Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.G.S.Chahal, APP for U.T.Chandigarh.

Mr.R.S.Bains, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.51 dated 27.03.2016, for the offence under Section 366 IPC registered at Police Station Sector-3, Chandigarh, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 20.06.2016 (Annexure P/2) and statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C.

It was alleged by the complainant/respondent No.2-Gurcharan Lal in the FIR that his daughter Sakshi-respondent No.3 who is 20 years old was abducted by the petitioner with an intention to marry her whereas, he is already a married person. On this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioner and respondent No.2-Gurcharan Lal, vide

In compliance with the order dated 29.07.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Judge Special Court, Chandigarh, has been received in this regard. As per report, Gurcharan Lal-complainant/respondent No.2 and prosecutrix/respondent No.3-Sakshi and accused-petitioner appeared before the trial Court on 16.08.2016 for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of Gurcharan Lal-complainant/respondent No.2 and prosecutrix/respondent No.3-Sakshi and accused-petitioner were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter and Gurcharan Lal-complainant/ respondent No.2 and prosecutrix/respondent No.3-Sakshi have no objection if the present FIR is quashed against the accused/petitioner. Statement of accused-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.51 dated 27.03.2016, for the offence under Section 366 IPC registered at Police Station Sector-3 is quashed

along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

09.09.2016
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>