

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24974-2014

Date of Decision: February 19, 2016

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Addl. AG, Punjab,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the fact that learned Court below has decided the case, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the said prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2016
P Kapoor