

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25814-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 05, 2016.

Sukhwinder Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners. Order under challenge is the one issuing process in a private complaint case. The order impugned is with reasons. However, without making any comments on the merits of the matter, in my opinion, the revision before the Sessions Court would be maintainable in accordance with the dictum of the Larger Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in which all the legal and factual issues can be sorted out. In that view of the matter, it would not be appropriate for this Court to exercise its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. I, thus, dispose of the petition with liberty in favour of the petitioners to approach the Sessions Court by filing a revision petition. All points are kept open.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

August 05, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No