

CRM-M-25779-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25779-2016

Date of Decision: 03.08.2016

Kuldeep

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Radhe Shyam Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Kuldeep has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.98 dated 11.04.2016, registered at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad, under Sections 398 and 401 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and 25 of Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, learned Addl. A. G. Haryana, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-25779-2016

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, the FIR was registered on the basis of secret information to the effect that four accused including the present petitioner were standing near the wall of college and trying to stop the vehicle with intention to rob the passersby. A raid was conducted and the present petitioner along with others was apprehended. .32 Bore countrymade pistol was recovered from the possession of the present petitioner. The petitioner has been in custody since 11.04.2016. He is now not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

03.08.2016

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No