

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.25768 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.08.2016

Anshul Kamboj @ Khanna

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.96 dated 27.02.2016 registered under Sections 148, 149, 324, 326, 307, 120-B IPC and 25 of Arms Act at Police Station City Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was named in the FIR only on the disclosure statement of the co-accused and the challan had already been filed in the Court. He further submits that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is in custody since 16.03.2016.

Learned State counsel has accepted this factual assertion.

Keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner and the fact that trial is not likely to be heard in the near future and without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny him the concession of bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate,
Yamunanagar.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 05, 2016
sonia

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |