

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M- 2572 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 23, 2016.

Fundi @ Gian Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in a case FIR No.279 dated 12.9.2011 registered under Sections 148, 149, 195, 307, 323, 325, 326, 324, 307 IPC and 25 of Arms Act at Police Station, Hodal, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 27.7.2015 and facing trial at the moment. Co-accused have been acquitted by the trial court. Thus, petitioner be enlarged on bail during the pendency of trial.

Prayer has been opposed by learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Though co-accused have been acquitted, petitioner would have to face trial in view of judgment rendered by this court in **Munfed & another Vs. State of Haryana (CRM-M-13084 of 2014)** decided on 9.7.2014. However, keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner and the fact that trial may take some time to conclude, he is directed to be released on bail to the satisfaction of trial court.

**(Rajan Gupta)
Judge**

February 23, 2016.

BB