

254

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-24761-2015*****Date of Decision: 03.05.2016*****BAKSHISH SINGH @ SHISHU****... Petitioner****VS.****STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER****. .. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.**

Present: Mr. Vinay Pandey, Advocate,
for Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

The prayer in this present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr. P.C is for quashing of FIR No. 182 dated 16.07.2010 (*Annexure P-1*) registered at Police Station Nakodar, Jalandhar, on the basis of compromise alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom alongwith the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 07.10.2014, passed by the learned Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Nakodar.

Report of the learned Sessions Judge, Jalandhar has been received. It comes out that the present petitioner was convicted by Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Nakodar for the offence under Section 382 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for two years and to pay a fine of ₹ 5,000/- in

default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of two months on the allegation that he snatched the purse of Hardip Kaur-complainant who was previously known to him.

The learned Sessions Judge, Jalandhar made a detailed report, which shows that the compromise was not read-over and explained to the complainant-Hardip Kaur. Her brother asked her to sign the compromise, therefore, she signed it. It also comes out that some of the witnesses also signed it later on. Therefore, the learned sessions judge reported that though Hardipt Kaur has stated that she agree with the compromise but it is not a valid compromise as the same was not read-over and explained to her.

In view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to set aside the judgement of conviction on the basis of compromise. The petitioner is relegated to raise the plea of compromise before the learned appellate Court and press for taking lenient view in the matter.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

May 3, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**