

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CRM-M-25666-2016

Decided on: July 29, 2016.

Rakesh Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner appears to have a family dispute with his father respondent No.5. In this context, he has submitted a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran claiming that on account of mental illness of respondent No.5, he has got threat to his life.

Perusal of complaint Annexure P-1 indicates that prima facie no cognizable offence is alleged to have been committed by respondent No.5. In case, respondent No.5 is presumed to be mentally not well, he is entitled to certain statutory benefits on account of his mental disability.

Notice to Advocate General, Punjab.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice. Copy of the petition has been handed over to the State counsel.

I do not find any ground to issue any directions, however, it is ordered that in case there is an apprehension of breach of peace, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran shall take adequate steps to enable the

petitioner and respondent No.5 to resolve the family dispute. It will be appreciated in case the grievance of the petitioner as reflected in Annexure P-1, is looked into within a period of three months after the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 29, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No