

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CRM-M-25645-2016

Decided on: July 29, 2016.

Baldev Krishan Singla

.... Petitioner

Versus

Ramesh Kumar Singla

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Ghulam Nabi Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of criminal complaint Annexure P-2 and the summoning order dated 09.06.2016 Annexure P-3 passed on the basis of preliminary evidence produced.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even if the allegations in the complaint, are admitted to be correct, no criminal offence is made out under Section 420 IPC.

I have considered the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that since the petitioner has raised arguments in context to the material which form part of the complaint and the record of the summoning Court, it will always be open to the revisional Court to look into the legality and propriety of the order. The contentions raised by the counsel for the petitioner, can be appreciated by the revisional Court while testing the legality and propriety of the summoning order.

This petition is disposed of as pre-mature at this stage. The petitioner is relegated to avail the alternative remedy.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 29, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No