

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.24718 of 2015

Decided on:-15.03.2016.

Sanjiv Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. He states that since the petitioner has been convicted, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above fact.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

March 15, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE