

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25599 of 2016.

Decided on:-29.07.2016.

Pawan Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.***

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC and under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to transfer the petitioner from District Jail, Gurgaon to District Jail, Narnaul.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a life convict for an offence under Section 302 IPC in FIR No.184 dated 25.10.2004 registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mahendergarh. Initially, he was lodged at District Jail, Narnaul and thereafter on 30.3.2015 he was transferred to District Jail, Jhajjar. Since father of the petitioner is an old person, the petitioner approached this Court by way of CRM-M-23676 of 2015 seeking his transfer from District Jail, Jhajjar to District Jail, Narnaul. This Court vide order dated 31.7.2015 has disposed of the said petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation as submitted by the father of the petitioner seeking his transfer from District Jail, Jhajjar to District Jail, Narnaul.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that pursuant to

the order dated 10.10.2015, the petitioner was transferred from District Jail, Jhajjar to District Jail, Narnaul. But now, on 28.5.2016, the petitioner has again been transferred from District Jail, Narnaul to District Jail, Gurgaon. He states that now, father of the petitioner, namely, Dalip Singh has submitted a representation dated 27.6.2016 (Annexure P-2) to the respondent No.2 i.e. Director General of Prisons, Panchkula seeking transfer of the petitioner from District Jail, Gurgaon to District Jail, Narnaul, but no action thereon has been taken.

Notice of motion.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the entitlement of the petitioner, but considering the fact narrated in the representation (Annexure P-2), the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to consider the claim as projected by the father of the petitioner in the said representation in accordance with law.

**July 29, 2016**  
Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No