

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201-2)

CRM-M-25589-2016

Decided on: September 06, 2016.

Dalip Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has been summoned as an additional accused on the basis of an application submitted by the prosecution agency, though he was neither challaned nor specified in column No.2 of the report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C.

Pursuant to interim order dated 28.07.2016, the petitioner has appeared before the investigating officer and granted concession of bail.

The petition is allowed. Interim order dated 28.07.2016 is hereby made absolute without prejudice to the right of the petitioner questioning the legality and propriety of the summoning order for trial.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

September 06, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No