

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(264)

CRM-M-25571-2016

Date of Decision:-21.09.2016

Vijay Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. Jonny Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Goldy Jakhar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.170 dated 22.11.2013 registered under Sections 324, 323 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga, petitioners are being prosecuted. Now there is motion for permission to compromise/compound on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Mr. Goldy Jakhar, Advocate, for the complainant submits that indeed the compromise has taken place between the parties and duly signed by the parties. The offence under Sections 324, 323 and 34 of IPC and looking to the terms of the compromise, I think there is no point in continuing the prosecution against the parties and they do not want to prosecute further and have arrived at compromise in order to live in

peace and harmony. This Court had made an order on August 02, 2016 for recording of the statements of the parties in view of the compromise. Now, there is a report received from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baghapurana showing that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.170 dated 22.11.2013 registered under Sections 324, 323 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising there from.

September 21, 2016

Pankaj

**(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge****Whether speaking/reasoned****Yes/No****Whether reportable****Yes/No**