

MAMTA MALHOTRA
2016.08.02 16:18

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-25520 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02nd August, 2016**

Kunal Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sagar Deswal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking regular bail in case FIR No.434 dated 08.09.2015, registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra, under Sections 406, 420, 419, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC (Sections 419, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC added later).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since 04.11.2015 in this case and compromise has taken place with respondent No.2-complainant Pawan Kumar. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that the petitioner is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes. He prayed for grant of bail.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arshdeep S.Kler, DAG, Punjab, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent(s)-State. Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate, has appeared and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2. Same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondents have contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

From the record, this Court finds that the offences are triable by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. The petitioner is in custody since 04.11.2015. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes. The compromise is stated to have been effected between the parties. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition stands accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.35,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

02nd August, 2016
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No