

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -25494 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.10.2016

Neeraj and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by HC Joga Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.119 dated 21.06.2016, registered under Sections 323, 452, 506, 427, 148 read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station Cantonment, Amritsar.

On 28.07.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that it is a case of no injury. Section 452 IPC is not made out.

Notice of motion for 04.10.2016.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice. A complete copy of the paper book has been handed over to the learned State counsel, in the

Court.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 28.07.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 28.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

04.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No