

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24623-2014

Date of Decision: February 05, 2016

Parveen Devi @ Parveen Kumari and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State submits that FIR qua the main accused has since been quashed and in view of the said fact the Investigating Agency is proposing to file the cancellation report qua the petitioners.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press this petition, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

February 05, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE