

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-25464 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.08.2016

Jitender Goyal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kr. Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

This is the second petition filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR No. 256 dated 01.08.2015, registered under Sections 370, 372, 373, 346, 120-B IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act, Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurgaon. The first petition was dismissed on merits by the co-ordinate Bench on 18.05.2016. The petitioner is seeking parity with Kiran Goyal. That aspect was dealt with in the order passed on 18.05.2016. The concluding paragraph of the order dated 18.05.2016 reads as under:-

“As per allegations levelled in the FIR, the victim was sold and handed over to the petitioner and thereafter, she was taken to different places in car bearing No.HRCA-7690 to hotels in Delhi and Gurgaon. It has further been alleged that he used to leave her in hotels with certain other persons and rape was committed upon her. The case of the petitioner has been

supported by statement of victim also. There are certain other witnesses, whose statements being relevant, are necessary to be recorded. Petitioner cannot claim parity with co-accused Kiran Goyal as she has been granted bail on the ground that the only allegation against her is that she in-connivance with her husband had enticed away the victim and forced her to indulge in prostitution.”

In view of the above, no case for bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

12.08.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No