

CRM-M-25463-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25463-2016

Date of Decision: 05.09.2016

Suresh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. P.S.Jammu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Mohan Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.350 dated 08.07.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Fetehabad, District Fatehabad, under Sections 420, 468 and 471 IPC.

Notice of motion was issued in this case.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Mohan Sharma, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present FIR has been got

CRM-M-25463-2016

registered with the allegations that the petitioner got prepared fake passports from the offices at Jaipur and Chandigarh by mentioning fake date of birth, place etc. The complainant is an editor of the newspaper.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner remained in Germany from 1987 to 2004. He is not aware who got the passport issued in the year 1999. He got the passport issued in the year 2009 but he never used it. In the year 2004, he got the passport issued from Germany and through this passport, he has come to India.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 04.08.2016 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation. He is not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from the present petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 04.08.2016, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

05.09.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No