

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

FAO No.844 of 1999  
Date of decision: 30.9.2016

Sat Pal

...Appellant

v.

Subhash and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sanjay Jain, Advocate for the appellant.  
None for respondent No.3.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite the fact that he has tried to contact the legal representatives of the appellant, they are not coming forward to get themselves impleaded in place of the late appellant.

Vide the order dated 20.5.2016, it had been stated that if the application for impleadment of legal representatives is not filed by the next date, the appeal would be deemed to have been dismissed for non prosecution, as several opportunities had already been granted to do the needful.

Thus, in the above situation, with the LRs of the appellant not coming forward to be impleaded in his place, the appeal already stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

A copy of this order be sent at the address of the appellant as given in the memo of parties.

September 30, 2016  
KD

(Amol Rattan Singh)  
Judge

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |