

106 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25428 of 2016
DECIDED ON: JULY 28, 2016

HARWINDER SINGH @ BHINDU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. petitioner has sought pre-arrest bail in case FIR No. 49 dated 15.06.2012 under Section 18 of NDPS Act registered at Police Station Chhaili, District Sangrur.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the asking of Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

4. It has been *inter-alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the case was earlier fixed for May 16, 2016 and on that day petitioner could not appear and the counsel for the petitioner moved an application for his personal exemption, which was allowed by learned trial Court. At that time Clerk of the Advocate noted down the date as 20.07.2016 instead of 24.05.2016. As such, petitioner could not appear on 24.05.2016 and on account of his absence, learned trial Court cancelled the bail bonds of the petitioner. He

further submits that petitioner is ready to appear before learned trial Court and also ready to join the proceedings.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is allowed and petitioner is directed to appear/surrender before trial Court within a week from today, who shall release the petitioner on bail at its satisfaction.

JULY 28, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No