

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-25424 of 2016**

**Date of decision : 02.08.2016**

**Sunil Kumar**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**...Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Keshav Partap Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)**

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.629 dated 31.10.2015, registered under Sections 306/34 IPC, Police Station D.L.F. Phase II, Gurgaon District Gurgaon.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 31.10.2015 and only two witnesses have been examined. Counsel states that the allegations are that the accused enticed the victim and her dead body was found subsequently.

The State counsel had disclosed that it had appeared during the investigation that the petitioner was a distant relative.

The allegations are serious. The trial is going at fast pace. No ground for bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

02.08.2016  
sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No