

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2540-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.09.2016

Vikram Singh Bhadana @ Vicky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Khehar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Anil Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.524 dated 02.10.2015, registered under Sections 447, 448, 506 and 427 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Sarai Khawaja, Faridabad.

Heard.

On 17.02.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel, assisted by ASI Rakesh Kumar, submits that the petitioner is yet to join the investigation.

On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, hearing is adjourned to 21.04.2016.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer, as and when called upon for

investigation and shall also be bound by all the conditions stipulated in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR except FIR No.602 dated 16.11.2015, registered under Section 420, 447, 448 and 120 IPC, at P.S. Sarai Khawaja, Faridabad (Annexure P-5), on the similar allegations, wherein, he has been admitted to anticipatory bail (Annexure P-6). Similar complaint stands filed on behalf of one Jai Narayan Sharma, wherein, the petitioner is not named in the FIR (Annexure P-8). It is contended that in fact, the dispute is between said Jai Jarayana Sharma and M/s Arun Dev Builders. The petitioner has been implicated on account of his alleged friendship with property dealer, Paramvir Singh @ Pinta Sardar. The petitioner is neither a beneficiary, nor in any way connected with the land in question. No recovery is to be effected from him. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has repeatedly joined the investigation.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, submits that the petitioner though has joined the investigation but he is not cooperating. The investigation is still in progress.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner has repeatedly joined the investigation and all the sections invoked are bailable, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 17.02.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

27.09.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No