

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25367 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.09.2016

Ravi Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R. S. Nain, AAG, Punjab

None for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.107 dated 05.07.2016, registered under Sections 307, 506 and 148 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') read with Section 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, Amritsar City, District Amritsar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

On 27.07.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, vide compromise dated 08.07.2016(Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 30.09.2016.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the

learned State counsel, in the Court.

The parties shall appear before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate, on 09.08.2016, to get their statements recorded regarding the veracity of the compromise.

The learned Court, after recording the statements, will send a report regarding the genuineness of the compromise being arrived at between the parties, along with the statements, to the Registrar Judicial of this Court, within a week thereafter. The Court shall also report as to whether the present petition has been filed on behalf of all the accused and whether any of the accused has been declared proclaimed offender in the present FIR ? A copy of the report be also sent through fax.”

As per the report of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, none of the parties put in appearance before the trial Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise.

It appears that the parties have lost interest in the matter.

In these circumstances, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action and any such filing shall entail costs of ₹11,000/- to be paid in favour of the High Court Bar Association Lawyers Welfare Fund.

30.09.2016

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No