

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(210)

CRM-M-25272-2016

Decided on: August 02, 2016.

Jagtar Ram @ JB Shah Sabri

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in a case registered at the instance of Kashmiri Lal claiming that he was residing abroad and he wanted to settle his son in Canada regarding which the petitioner had demanded a sum of Rs.10 lacs and agreed to send the son of the complainant to Canada. He allegedly took sum of Rs.6 lacs from the complainant on different dates but did not send the son of the complainant to Canada.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is running a 'dera' and has been falsely implicated by the complainant as the father of the complainant is staying in his 'dera'. The amount is alleged to have been paid in presence of Chain Singh and Tulsi Ram.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, it is sufficient to observe that the challan has already been presented in the Court and the petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. 09.12.2015. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

It is not out of place to observe here that statement of Sandeep, son of the complainant, for whose migration the amount has been paid, has never been joined in investigation. His statement has not even been recorded.

The petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the condition that he will not leave India without the permission of the Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

August 02, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No