

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : September 28, 2016

1. CRM-M No.25245 of 2016

Sodhi @ Tejinder Singh vs State of Punjab

2. CRM-M No. 27726 of 2016

Gurmukh Singh vs State of Punjab

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Saurav Khurana, Advocate
for Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.25245 of 2016.

Mr. AS Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.27726 of 2016

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG Punjab

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the aforesaid two petitions as the same have been filed by two persons who are accused in cross cases.

Both the petitioners are accused of the offences of having caused injuries to each other.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have argued that they

would have no objection if the accused in the other case is granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

In this view of the matter, I do not see any reason to deny the prayer for anticipatory bail because that may lead to the dispute being settled. Consequently, these petitions are allowed and it is directed that in the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

September 28, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No