

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -25241 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.10.2016

Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Sarwan Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.09 dated 13.02.2016, registered under Sections 379 and 411 of IPC, at Police Station GRP Patiala (GRP Police Post at Rajpura), District Patiala

On 22.09.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Baljinder Singh, who allegedly took away the bag of the wife of the complainant containing Rs.22,000/- cash and other valuables.

The petitioner is allowed to join the investigation.

Post again on 18.10.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 22.09.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, recovery of Rs.22000/- is yet to be effected.

Co-accused Baljinder Singh has been shown to be all alone. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

18.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No