

259 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.25229 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 01.12.2016

Harpreet Singh and another ..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another ..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. D.S.Channan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

On 27.07.2016 the following order was passed :-

*“This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 76 dated 05.04.2015, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar City on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-1).*

*Notice of motion.*

*Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab, on the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply a copy of the petition to the learned DAG during the course of the day.*

*Since the present petition is for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise, the parties are directed to be present before the Illaqa Magistrate on 29.09.2016 or any other date convenient to the Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Illaqa Magistrate is directed to record the statements of both the parties to his satisfaction*

*to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Illaqa Magistrate is also directed to send a report along with statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal proceedings are pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.*

*Adjourned to 29.11.2016 for awaiting the report of the Illaqa Magistrate.”*

Thereafter, the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Jalandhar dated 14.10.2016 has been received whereby he has mentioned that the parties had appeared before him and had attested to the fact that a compromise has indeed taken place between them and that the compromise has been executed voluntarily and without any pressure.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has also accepted this fact.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another** reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543 has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**01.12.2016**

*Pooja sharma-I*

**( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No