

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25214-2016

Date of Decision:- 12.12.2016

Anoop Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, AAP, for U.T., Chandigarh.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.207 dated 06.05.2015, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh, on the basis of compromise/statements (Annexures P-3 to P-5).

Brief facts of the case are that the marriage of complainant was solemnized with petitioner No.1 Anoop Singh on 09.11.2013 according to Hindu Rights and Ceremony. After the marriage, the behavior of the accused became changed and they started beatings to the complainant on account of demand of dowry. Thereafter, due to incompatibility of temperament, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the peace and harmony, the matter has now been amicably settled between the parties with the intervention of respectable persons, vide compromise/statements (Annexures P-3 to P-5).

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court, was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise/statements (Annexures P-3 to P-5), by way of order dated 26.07.2016, by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 26.07.2016 of this Court, the report of the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Chandigarh dated 11.11.2016 has been received. As per the report, the statements of all the concerned parties have been recorded and the complainant has no objection, if the present FIR registered against the petitioners is quashed. Statements of the petitioners and complainant have been recorded to the same effect.

Consequently, in view of the above-said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and another, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910; Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429** and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.207 dated 06.05.2015, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, on the basis of compromise/statements (Annexures P-3 to P-5).

The present petition stands disposed of.

December 12, 2016
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No