

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RFA-2344-1997

Date of Decision: September 29, 2016

Dev Raj

...Appellant

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Senior Standing Counsel for UT, Chandigarh,
and Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate,
for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

The office report shows that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order/judgment rendered by this Court in the case of **Jit Ram and others v. Union Territory, Chandigarh** (RFA No. 259 of 1997 (O&M), decided on 27.10.2010).

The factual position as set out above is not disputed by learned counsel for the parties.

That being so, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the order/judgment of this Court in the case of **Jit Ram and others (supra)**.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

September 29, 2016

P Kapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

YES / NO

Whether Reportable:

YES / NO