

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-27080 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 31, 2016.

Kartar Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

Ajit Singh and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. T.S. Sangha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. H.S. Sangha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioners withdraws the petition qua petitioners No.1 & 4, Kartar Singh Sandhu and Gopi Chand, respectively. However, regarding petitioners No.2 & 3, Amritpal Singh Sandhu and Sandeep Singh Sandhu, it is stated that they were born on 21.1.1988 and 20.9.1991 respectively and were thus minor on the date of commission of the offence. The offence is stated to have been committed in the year 1997. It goes to show that they were 9 years and 6 years of age respectively at the time of crime which pertains to mutation of the land. They were pursuing their remedy through their father Kartar Singh Sandhu, petitioner No.1. In view of the admitted position, the summoning order dated 18.4.2013

qua petitioners No.2 and 3 stands quashed and the petition qua
petitioners No.1 and 4 stands dismissed as withdrawn.

March 31, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge