

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

FAO No. 623 of 1999

Date of decision :09.02.2016

Sohan Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Urmila Devi and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.D.P.Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Vikram Bali, Advocate
for Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for Respondents no. 1 to 4.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant pleaded that he has called the appellant to furnish the complete address of respondents no. 5 to 7 and the list of legal representatives of respondent no. 8. But, he has expressed his inability to do so. Learned counsel for the appellant further contended that no relief has been sought in this appeal against respondents no.1 to 4. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that there is nothing to challenge the quantum of compensation awarded by the learned Tribunal. So, no relief is possibly available against respondents no. 1 to 4. The main plea raised by the appellant was that he was not liable as he has transferred the vehicle before the accident. But, he is unable

to furnish the address of respondents no. 5 to 7 and the list of legal representatives of respondent no.8.

So, the present appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution under Order 9 Rule 2 CPC. The appellant shall be at liberty to file the application for restoration of the appeal, if he is able to get the correct and present address of respondents no. 5 to 7 and the list of the legal representatives of respondent no.8.

09.02.2016

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**