

**248 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.24254 of 2015

Date of decision: October 21, 2016

Bachittar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Though, by virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, petitioner has sought direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 as alleged who are restraining him from illegally harvesting the crops, yet, the petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is given to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation(s) dated 20.10.2014, 03.11.2014 and 17.11.2014 and to take an appropriate action.

The contention of learned counsel for respondent No.2 is that though representation was made to respondents No.2 and 3 way back in the month of November 03, 2014 but till date, no action has been taken by the concerned authorities.

The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into the grievances unfolded by petitioner in his representation(s) referred to

above and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

October 21, 2016

m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No