

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -25139 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.09.2016

Victor and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.
assisted by SI Bhagwan Dass.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.62 dated 04.04.2016, registered under Sections 306 and 120 IPC, at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

On 27.07.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that petitioner Nos.1 & 2 are uncle of co-accused, Paras who performed love marriage with Anjali, daughter of Parshottam Rana, deceased without the consent of both the families. It is contended that it was a love affair between the son of co-accused, Sukhdev and the petitioners have nothing to do with the marriage. It is further asserted that even if the allegations are taken on the face value, no offence of any abetment would be made out against the petitioners.

Notice of motion for 28.09.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 27.07.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 27.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

28.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No