

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24193-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 31.08.2016

C.A. Vineya Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate,
with Ms. Tanya Sirohi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Surjit Singh.

Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.389 dated 27.09.2005, registered under Sections 420, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code at P.S. City Thanesar.

Heard.

On 27.07.2015, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads that the petitioner was declared innocent in the investigation. He has only been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. as additional accused. He contended that the petitioner is a leading chartered accountant of Karnal and had never indulged in these activities, which was substantiated during investigation.

On the alleged dates he was performing his professional duty at Delhi.

Notice of motion for 28.09.2015.

In the meanwhile, in case, the petitioner appears before the learned trial Court on the date fixed, then, he shall be admitted to interim bail.”

It is submitted that the petitioner, in terms of the order passed by this Court on 27.07.2015, has appeared before the trial Court and has been admitted to interim bail. Even otherwise, the matter stands compromised between the parties.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 27.02.2015, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

31.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No