

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.25108 of 2016  
Date of Decision : 25.07.2016**

Meena Yadav and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Ms. Sumita Singh, Advocate  
for Mr. Sushen Duhan, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 & 5.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 20.07.1995 whereas petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-3) depicting his date of birth as 15.05.1995. They have also moved a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Sonipat (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Sonipat to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**July 25, 2016**  
*ashish*

**( AJAY TEWARI )**  
**JUDGE**