

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-25093 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.09.2016**

Baljeet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Suneet Pal Singh Aulakh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 24 dated 16.03.2011, under Sections 498-A/406 IPC, registered at Police Station, Ghuman, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Kamaljit Kaur-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Baljeet Singh-petitioner No.1 about five years back. Soon after the marriage, her husband-Baljit Singh, father-in-law Tarsem Singh, mother-in-law Amarjit Kaur and sisters-in-law (*nanad*) Mandip Kaur and Daljit Kaur started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing inadequate dowry. She was also given beatings by them. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, the matter has been compromised

P-3).

In compliance with the order dated 26.07.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, has been received in this regard. As per report, Kamaljit Kaur-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 18.08.2016 to the effect that with the intervention of respectable persons, she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners at her own will and without any coercion or pressure. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Joint statement of Baljeet Singh, Tarsem Singh, Amarjit Kaur and Daljeet Kaur-petitioners was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrL.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 24 dated 16.03.2011, under Sections 498-A/406 IPC, registered at Police Station, Ghuman, District Gurdaspur, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

20.09.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE