

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -24935 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.09.2016

Pawan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Khehar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by ASI Satnam Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.12 dated 29.02.2016, registered under Section 395 IPC, at Police Station Ghulam Kalan, District Gurdaspur.

On 27.07.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR. No injury has been attributed to him. Otherwise also, all the injuries suffered by injured-Malwinder Singh, are simple in nature. The recovery of datar has already been effected from co-accused Baljit Singh.

Notice of motion for 21.09.2016.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice. A complete copy of the paper book has been handed over to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by

the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court."*

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that in pursuance of the order dated 27.07.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, the recovery is yet to be effected.

Heard.

The petitioner has repeatedly joined the investigation, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 27.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

21.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No