

**CRM-M-24920-2016 and
CRM-M-25795-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 06.09.2016

1. CRM-M-24920-2016

Tarun

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-25795-2016

Sudha Bal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-24920-2016.

Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-25795-2016.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-24920-2016, filed by petitioner-Tarun and CRM-M-25795-2016, filed by petitioner-Sudha Bal for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.114 dated 19.06.2016, registered at Police Station Cantonment Amritsar, under Sections 323, 186, 353 and 34

**CRM-M-24920-2016 and
CRM-M-25795-2016**

IPC.

Notice of motion was issued in both these cases.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered at the instance of Lady Constable Kudarat. As per the allegations, when the complainant was performing her official duty at Cantonment Chowk, the petitioners came there. Petitioner-Sudha Bal attacked upon the complainant without any reason; started dragging her from her uniform and started slapping her. Petitioner-Tarun Sabharwal caught hold of the complainant from her arms and slapped her.

In pursuance of the orders dated 25.07.2016 in CRM-M-24920-2016 and dated 29.07.2016 in CRM-M-25795-2016, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are now not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioners.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody, I find merit in these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 25.07.2016 in CRM-M-24920-2016 and dated 29.07.2016 in CRM-M-25795-2016, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners

**CRM-M-24920-2016 and
CRM-M-25795-2016**

shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide
by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

06.09.2016
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No