

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -24909 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.08.2016

Heena Rani @ Deepu @ Heena Joshi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vishavjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Raghwinder Singh.

Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.348, dated 08.11.2013, registered under Sections 384, 386, 420, 506, 120-B IPC, Sections 66-A, 66-D of the Information Technology Act, Section 52-A of the Prison Act and Section 540 of the Jail Manual Act, at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

It is contended that petitioner No.1 was admitted to bail on 19.11.2013, whereas petitioner No.2 was granted bail on 13.12.2013. Thereafter, the petitioners had been regularly appearing

before the trial Court. However on 25.02.2016 on account of Jat reservation agitation, the petitioners who are residents of Meerut could not appear before the trial Court and non-bailable warrants were issued against them.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the complainant states that there was no agitation in the State of Punjab and the petitioners did not appear thereafter as well before the trial Court.

The learned State counsel, on instructions has duly acknowledged the fact of the petitioners being residents of Meerut.

Heard.

In the circumstances, the assertions raised by learned counsel for the petitioners do not appear to be out of the context as the G.T. Road throughout had been blocked and the reasons cited by the petitioners appear to be *bona fide*. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to appear before the trial Court, within a week from today, and furnish bail bonds/surety bonds. In the event of their doing so, they shall be admitted to bail by the trial Court, to its satisfaction.

Disposed of.

24.08.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No

